IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

| Michelle A. Cox, | 1 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Plaintiff, | C.A. No.: 4:12-cv-00189-RBH |
| |) |
| VS. |) ORDER |
| Southeastern Service Group and |) |
| Williamsburg County Detention Center, | |
| Defendants |) |

Plaintiff Michelle A. Cox filed this employment action against Defendants

Southeastern Service Group ("SESG") and Williamsburg County Detention Center

("WCDC"). Defendant WCDC filed a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss. This matter is before
the court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge

Kaymani D. West, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02

for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions.

See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Neither party has filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. In the absence

of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this court is not

required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718

F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an

objection. See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310 (4th Cir. 2005)

stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct de novo

review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record

in order to accept the recommendation." (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's

note).

After a thorough review of the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error.

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is adopted and

incorporated by reference. Therefore, it is

ORDERED that Defendant WCDC's motion to dismiss [Doc. # 11] is **DENIED** without

prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ R. Bryan Harwell

R. Bryan Harwell

United States District Judge

Florence, South Carolina

August 7, 2012

2